



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 5/3/2021

ORM Number: LRN-2021-00131

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Alabama City: Decatur County/Parish/Borough: Morgan

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 34.5421 Longitude -86.9144

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☒ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland-1	2.91	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	Wetland-1 does not abut, receive flooding in a typical year from, or separated from an (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier. Wetland-1 does drain into an upland ditch (a non-jurisdictional water) located outside the AJD review area.
Pond-1	0.75	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).	Pond-1 was historically excavated within Wetland-1, a non-jurisdictional water. Pond-1 does not contribute surface water flow to an (a)(1) water through an (a)(2-4) water. Pond-1 is not inundated by flooding from an (a)(1-3) water.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [“Report of Jurisdictional Waters Assessment, Dumas Property, 3109 Upper River Road, Decatur, Morgan County, Alabama, S&ME Project No. 4482-20-034”, dated January 7, 2021.](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A](#)

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

☒ Photographs: [Aerial and Other: Images from both the JD report and photographs taken by USACE staff during the site visit.](#)

☒ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [13 April 2021](#)

☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)

☒ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)

☒ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Morgan County, Alabama](#)

☒ USFWS NWI maps: [Decatur, Alabama](#)

☒ USGS topographic maps: [Decatur, Alabama](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	Various layers from ORM2
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): The Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) was used to evaluate the project area for the months preceding my 13 April 2021 site inspection. A single point centered on the AJD review area was used to evaluate the rainfall data. The 90-day period preceding 13 April 2021 was determined to be normal with the nearest 30-day period ending 13 April 2021 being wetter than the 70th percentile, the 30-day period ending 14 March 2021 being normal, and the 30-day period ending 12 February 2021 being drier than the 30th percentile. The drought index further describes the period ending on March 2021 as moderate wetness.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A or provide additional discussion as appropriate.